

Lieutenant Governor

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

SECOND NOTICE

John Wilkinson Wilkinson Construction Co Inc 1200 East 100 South Morgan, Utah 84050

Subject: Fine Payment Required for Cessation Order MC-2014-60-01, Wilkinson Construction Co.,

Inc, Dugout Canyon Gravel Pit, S/047/0112, Uintah County, Utah

Dear Mr. Wilkinson:

A review of records of the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining shows that the civil penalty (fine) in the amount of \$1,100 for the referenced cessation order MC-2014-60-01 has not been paid. The final assessment was sent July 23, 2014 (copy enclosed). The timeframe to appeal either the fact of this violation or the amount of the assessed fine has lapsed, and the amount assessed is considered final.

Please remit the total payment by April 23, 2015. If you believe the Division records are in error and that you have paid this fine, please provide evidence of the payment, such as a copy of the cancelled check, before the due date.

Failure to pay the assessed fine may result in seeking payment through court action or referring the amount due to the Bureau of Debt Collections. This may adversely affect your credit rating.

Please call the permit lead, April Abate at 801-538-5214 or Lynn Kunzler, the assessment officer, at 801-538-5310, or me at 801-538-5261 if you have any questions regarding this letter.

Sincerely,

Paul B. Baker,

Minerals Program Manager

PBB:aa:eb

Enclosure: Copy of assessment letter cc: Sheri Sasaki, DOGM Accounting

Lynn Kunzler, DOGM Assessment Officer

O:\M047-Uintah\S0470112-DugoutCanyonGravelPit\final\Second Notice-6544-03232015.doc





State of Utah DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

July 23, 2014

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7011 2970 0001 8828 2464

John Wilkinson Wilkinson Construction Co. Inc. 1200 East 100 South Morgan, Utah 84050

Subject: Proposed Assessment for State Cessation Order No.MC-2014-60-01, Wilkinson

Construction Co. Dugout Canyon Gravel Pit, S/047/0112, Uintah County, Utah

Response Due By: 30 Days of Receipt

Dear Mr. Wilkinson:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the assessment officer for assessing penalties under rule R647-7.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced cessation order. The cessation order was issued by Division inspector April Abate on March 24, 2014. Rule R647-7-103 et. seq. has been utilized to determine the proposed penalty of \$1,100.00. The enclosed worksheet outlines how the civil penalty was assessed.

By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this cessation order) has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of this penalty.

Under rule R647-7-106, there are two informal appeal options available. You may appeal the fact of the violation, i.e. whether a violation occurred, the proposed civil penalty, or both. If you wish to informally appeal you should file a written request for an informal conference within thirty 30 days of receipt of this letter.



Page 2 of 7 John Wilkinson S/047/0112 July 23, 2014

The informal conference will be conducted by a Division-appointed conference officer. The informal conference for the fact of the violation is distinct from the informal assessment conference regarding the proposed penalty. If you wish to review both the fact of the violation and proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an assessment conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. In this case, the assessment conference will be scheduled immediately following the review of the fact of the violation.

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of the violation will stand, the proposed penalty will become final, and will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the date of this proposed assessment (by August 22, 2014). Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Sheri Sasaki.

Sincerely,

Lynn Kunzler Assessment Officer

SBRX

LK: eb

Enclosure: Proposed assessment worksheet cc Sheri Sasaki, Accounting

Vickie Southwick, Exec Sec Pr:GROUPS\MINERALS\WP\M047-Uintah S0470112-DugoutCanyonGravelPit\non-compliance\proassess-5992-04112014.doc

Page 3 of 7 John Wilkinson S/047/0112 July 23, 2014

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING Minerals Regulatory Program

COM ASSI	IPANY ESSME	/ MINI NT DA	2014-60-02 E <u>Wilkinson Constr</u> TE <u>April 11, 2014</u> FICER <u>Lynn Kunz</u>			S/047/0012 yon Gravel Pit		
I.	HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.11) A. Are there previous violations, which are not pending or vacated, which fall three (3) years of today's date?							
	PRE'		VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE	E DATE	POINTS (1pt for NOV 5pts for CO)		
	NOI	<u></u>						
			•		. TOTAL H	ISTORY POINTS 0		
					IOIALII	ISTORT TORVIS_U		
П.	SEK	NOTE: 1. 2.	Based on facts supplie each category where the Beginning at the mid-p	nts in Parts II and III d by the inspector, to the violation falls. to oint of the category the inspector=s and of the dministrative (E	the Assessment (the Assessment (the Assessment operator=s stater	Officer will determine within t Officer will adjust the points nents as guiding documents.		
	A.	<u>EVEI</u> 1.	What is the event which the violated standard was designed to prevent? Conducting mining operations without proper permits, Injury to Public, Damage to Property, Environmental Harm					
		2.	What is the probab standard was designed PROBABII None Unlikely Likely Occurred	ned to prevent? <u>LITY</u>	POINT RA 0 1-9 10-19 20	vent which a violated <u>NGE</u>		

ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS 20

Page 4 of 7 John Wilkinson S/047/0112 July 23, 2014

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: <u>Inspector indicated that mining</u> <u>activity has occurred prior to reclamation surety being provided. Points were assigned accordingly.</u>

Page 5 of 7 John Wilkinson S/047/0112 July 23, 2014

July 23, 2014 What is the extent of actual or potential damage: See comments under the 3. 'Explanation of Points' below. ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS(RANGE 0-25) In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment. PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: Operator had began operations prior to submitting the surety, disturbing about ½ of the area being permitted. So while ground was disturbed, damage is considered minimal since the Division had the opportunity to review the plan. The Plan had been determined to be complete, but was awaiting submittal of the bond for final approval. Points were assigned at the lower 1/5 of the range. B. ADMINISTRATIVE VIOLATIONS (Max 25pts) Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation. ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS _____ PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 25 III. **DEGREE OF FAULT** (Max 30 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.13) IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT Point Range THAN NEGLIGENCE. No Negligence (Was this an inadvertent violation which was 0 unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care?) Negligence (was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the 1-15 occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care?) Greater Degree of Fault (was this a failure to abate any 16-30 violation or was economic gain realized by the permittee? STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Neglegent ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 5

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: Inspector indicated that this was likely oversite on the part of the operator for providing the surety and contract. Points assigned at the lower 1/3 of range.

Page 6 of 7 John Wilkinson S/047/0112 July 23, 2014

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.) (R467-7-103.2.14)

(Either A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures, or violations not abated at the time of assessment)

Has Violation Been Abated? No The operator has only provided a portion of the required surety, not the full amount. He was also given the opportunity to amend his Notice to a reduced acreage so that the surety submitted would be adequate – he has not responded to this option. Therefore, Good faith points are not warranted.

A. EASY ABATEMENT (The operator had onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area.)

	Point Range
Immediate Compliance	-11 to -20
(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)	
Rapid Compliance	-1 to -10
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	
Violation abated in less time than allotted.)	
Normal Compliance	0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required,	
or. Operator requested an extension to abatement time)	

B. DIFFICULT ABATEMENT (The operator did not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or the submission of plans was required prior to physical activity to achieve compliance.)

	Point Range -11 to -20
Rapid Compliance	-11 to -20
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	
Violation abated in less time than allotted.)	
Normal Compliance	-1 to -10
(Operator complied within the abatement period)	
Extended Compliance	0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required,	
or, Operator requested an extension to abatement time)	
(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay	
within the limits of the violation, or the plan submitted	
for abatement was incomplete.)	

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT?

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS ___0_

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY (R647-7-103.3)

I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	0
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	<u>25</u>
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	5
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	30

Page 7 of 7 John Wilkinson S/047/0112 July 23, 2014

TOTAL ASSESSED FINE

\$ 1,100.00